

**COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
DOCUMENT RETURN NOTICE FOR APPLICATIONS**

October 27, 2015

To: Mr. Lloyd E. White, GDC1230333, Georgia State Prison, 301 First Avenue, Reidsville, Georgia 30453

Docket Number: Style: Lloyd White, et al. v. The State

Your document(s) is (are) being returned for the following reason(s).

1. Your Application was not accompanied by the statutory filing fee, \$300.00 civil; \$80.00 criminal, or a sufficient pauper's affidavit. OCGA§5-6-4 and Rule 5 Please be advised that your pauper's affidavit should be notarized by a notary public.
2. Portions of the record included were not tabbed and indexed. Rules 30 (e) and 31 (c).
3. A stamped "filed" copy of the trial court's order to be appealed was not attached to your Application. Rules 30 (b) and 31 (e)
4. A stamped "filed" copy of the Certificate of Immediate Review was not attached to your Interlocutory Application. Rule 30(b)
5. Your document(s) was (were) not signed by counsel (No signatures with expressed permission are permitted). Rule 1 (a)
6. There were an insufficient number of copies of your document. Rule 6
7. No Certificate of Service accompanied your document(s). Rule 6 You should provide a copy of your filing to the District Attorney and include his/her name and address on your Certificate of Service.
8. **Your Certificate of Service did not include the complete name and /or mailing address of each opposing counsel and pro se party. Rule 1(a) and 6**
9. Your document exceeds page limits. Rules 24(f) , 30(e) and 31(c)
10. Your request for court action must be submitted in motion form. Rule 41 (a)
11. No extension of time for filing an interlocutory application will be granted . Rule 30 (g) . No extension of time will be granted for filing a discretionary application unless the motion for extension is filed on or before the due date of the discretionary application.
12. The type font was smaller than 10 characters per inch; type was not double-spaced or/and type was on both sides of the paper. Rules 1(c), 24(b), 37(a) and 41(b).
13. Your motions were submitted in an improper form (joint, compound, or alternative motions in one document). Rule 41 (b)
14. Margins were too small or paper size was incorrect. Rules 1(c), 24(c), 30(e), 31(c) and 41(b).
15. Your document was submitted for filing more than 30 days after the date of the order granting, denying or dismissing the application or the order granting, denying or dismissing the Motion for Reconsideration. Rules 30(j) and 31(j).
16. **Other:**

For Additional information, please go to the Court's website at: www.gaappeals.us

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA
DOCUMENT RETURN NOTICE FOR APPLICATIONS

10/27/15

(KJ)
(P)

To: Dante Fedrick

Docket Number:

Style: Lloyd White et al. v. The State

Your document(s) is (are) being returned for the following reason(s).

1. Your Application was not accompanied by the statutory filing fee, \$300.00 civil; \$80.00 criminal, or a sufficient pauper's affidavit. OCGA §5-6-4 and Rule 5 **Please be advised that your pauper's affidavit should be notarized by a notary public.**
2. Portions of the record included were not tabbed and indexed. Rules 30 (e) and 31 (c).
3. A stamped "filed" copy of the trial court's order to be appealed was not attached to your Application. Rules 30 (b) and 31 (e)
4. A stamped "filed" copy of the Certificate of Immediate Review was not attached to your Interlocutory Application. Rule 30(b)
5. Your document(s) was (were) not signed by counsel (No signatures with expressed permission are permitted). Rule 1 (a)
6. There were an insufficient number of copies of your document. Rule 6
7. No Certificate of Service accompanied your document(s). Rule 6 You should provide a copy of your filing to the District Attorney and include his/her name and address on your Certificate of Service.
8. Your Certificate of Service did not include the complete name and /or mailing address of each opposing counsel and pro se party. Rule 1(a) and 6
9. Your document exceeds page limits. Rules 24(f) , 30(e) and 31(c)
10. Your request for court action must be submitted in motion form. Rule 41 (a)
11. No extension of time for filing an interlocutory application will be granted . Rule 30 (g) . No extension of time will be granted for filing a discretionary application unless the motion for extension is filed on or before the due date of the discretionary application.
12. The type font was smaller than 10 characters per inch; type was not double-spaced or/and type was on both sides of the paper. Rules 1(c), 24(b), 37(a) and 41(b).
13. Your motions were submitted in an improper form (joint, compound, or alternative motions in one document). Rule 41 (b)
14. Margins were too small or paper size was incorrect. Rules 1(c), 24(c), 30(e), 31(c) and 41(b).
15. Your document(s) was (were) not securely bound at the top with staples or round head fasteners. Rules 1(c), 30 (e) and 31 (c)
16. Your document was submitted for filing more than 30 days after the date of the order granting, denying or dismissing the application or the order granting, denying or dismissing the Motion for Reconsideration. Rules 30(j) and 31(j).

COURT OF APPEALS GEORGIA

CASE NO: _____

RECEIVED IN OFFICE
2015 OCT 28 PM 3:31
COURT OF APPEALS OF GA

Lloyd White
Capucine White
APPELLANTS

v. STATE OF GEORGIA
Appellee

OmniBUS motion For Application for leave to appeal, Application Brief
IN SUPPORT, AND MEMORANDUM OF LAW, And Entry of Appearance of Counsel

The Appellants hereby Submits the above-styled motion in support of previous Judgement of Cobb County Courts 2009. Appellants Counsel has also filed a Entry of ~~Appearance~~ Appearance of Counsel. Come Now, Dante G. Fredricks, Jail House Lawyer, Pursuant to U.S.C.R. 4.2, and hereby enters his name as counsel of record for Lloyd White and Capucine White, please forward a copy of any and

All paper work Correspondence and for court dates to the below address for Jailhouse Lawyer Dante G. Fredricks #1141121 Georgia State Prison

300 1st Ave, Reidsville GA 30453 Also Counsel Respectfully Request that the Courts Notify Warden Stanley Williams of the entry of Appearance of Counsel at Georgia State Prison 300 1st Ave,

Reidsville GA 30453 In Reference to Lloyd White and also Warden

Angela Grant at pulaski State Prison, P.O. Box 839, Hawkinsville GA 31036. This is from the Courts to establish "proof of Counsel" for

"Correspondence" purposes, Through the U.S. MAIL and the Internal mailing system. The Appellants are layman and also mentally

Incompetent to understand the laws and rules of the court. It will violate due process of law to ~~deny~~ deny all available Correspondence. See Attached Affidavit's, Counsel also request Stamped filed Copies of all filings for Counsel and defendant upon receipt.

Respectfully Submitted
by Dante G. Fredricks
Jail House Lawyer
for ~~the~~ Appellants
Georgia State Prison
300 1st Ave
Reidsville GA
30453

Certificate of Service

I certify that I have mailed a true and correct copy to the Court of Appeals Carrollton with adequate postage,
Dante Fredricks
10/21/2015

I Declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is to the best of my knowledge true & correct.
Dante Fredricks

CRIME
Supporting Facts - District Attorney of trail gives a brief Definition of what "ARM-Robbery" is Christopher W. Timmons, Assistant District Attorney Cobb Judicial Circuit State Bar Number 712659 states during trail on the record this following statement: Now, we're going forward in this case on count 1, armed robbery. And what an armed robbery is, is when a person commits arm robbery when, with the intent to commit theft, that person takes property of another from the person or immediate presence of another by use of an OFFENSIVE WEAPON See Exhibit A for ~~the~~ verification

Jailhouse lawyer Dante G. Friedrich will now break down ~~the~~ legislative language of the interpretation of ARM Robbery. The ARM of Arm robbery is the OFFENSIVE WEAPON / Fire Arm and the weapon is the primary fact to sustain a charge of Arm robbery, to sustain a conviction of Arm robbery to sustain a sentence ~~for~~ legally by law.

O.C.G.A. 16-11-106 B4 - A weapon has to be "within Arms Reach" or "Immediate Access" to establish possession ~~of~~ of a weapon or firearm or to sustain a conviction. See O.C.G.A. 16-11-106 B4 and see case Beal v State, 175 GA. App. 234 333. S.E. 2d 103(1998) for factual verification of supporting fact.

So it's clear to the courts that it has to be a weapon to sustain ARM Robbery and to sustain a legal in the interest of Justice conviction of Arm robbery. In any case where there is no weapon legally there is no case and no case is No conviction!

In case # 08-9-1155 Indictment # 08-9-1155-40 State vs. Lloyd Ethan White No weapon at no time was recovered by Police to even sustain a conviction of Arm robbery See Exhibit B Cobb County Police Property / Evidence Control Record for factual verification, Also see Exhibit C - Trial Transcripts more factual verification that there was no gun and that the courts was aware there was no weapon before arrest, after arrest, Indictment, and conviction of Lloyd Ethan White.

The Staff attorney in this case had repeatedly
lesser Included offense of Robbery by Intimidation
Because the Staff attorney for the state knew it would
be completely illegally to convict Lloyd Ethan White of Arm Rob-
bery with out a weapon in this case. See Exhibit D for factual
Verification of supporting fact. And Capucice white

Also See Arm robbery Indictment # 08-9-1155-40
Where it shows Lloyd Ethan White was Indicted for Armeo
Robbery when there was not even a weapon to support the
Indictment for Arm Robbery. See Exhibit E for factual
Verification of supporting Fact. (violated 8, 11, 13, and 14
Constitutional Amend-
ments)

(B) Ground 2

And also Capucice white

Illegal Conviction

Supporting Facts - The Judge, District Attorney and Defense
Attorney specializes in law and all has prior knowledge of
the law and also the Constitutional Amendments.

Even doe the Jury found Lloyd Ethan White Guilty the
Jury was merely persuaded on "feelings" and gave
a verdict of Guilty not based on the law. Courts operate
by law not by "Gut feelings" or just because you "Feel
this man is guilty" A man is "Innocent until proven
Guilty" Proof is by law and reasonable doubt not assumption
(Also Capucice white)

The Courts Judge, DA, Defense Attorney all was comp-
letely aware of O.C.G.A 16-11-106 B-4 and also was fully aware
you cannot convict a person just off testimony and no evidence to
support that testimony to legally uphold a legal by law conviction.
See Exhibit I for verification of O.C.G.A, 16-11-106 B4 and
See Exhibit A for Definition of "Arm Robbery".

The Courts showed awareness when the "Staff Attorney
requested "Robbery by Intimidation" but D.A. C. Timmons
with "Evil Intent" neglected the Law and pushed for and "Arm-
Robbery conviction "Knowing" there was no "Solid" evidence to
support prosecution or a conviction for Arm Robbery.

with all Jailhouse Lawyer Dante G. Fredrick has presented Cobb County Superior Court still entered a conviction of Arm robbery with a sentence of 20 ~~years~~ Serve 14^{1/2} In Case # 08-9-1155 - Indictment # 08-9-1155-40 with no evidence to support a conviction of "Arm robbery". Jailhouse Lawyer Dante G. Fredrick has shown supporting Facts of Illegal Conviction See Exhibit F for factual verification of conviction/sentencing. Violated §, 11, 5, and 14 Amendments Ground 4

(C) Ground 3

Ineffective Assistance of Counsel

The Attorney had prior knowledge of all laws in this case and did not represent Lloyd Ethan White to the best of his ability. The Attorney also took part in a Illegal Conviction and no one Judge, D.A. or Attorney can break the laws or the Constitutional Amendments of the United States. Violated §, 11, 5, and 14 Amendments Ground 4

Malicious Prosecution by Prosecution this case Illegally Amendments

8th - Cruel and unusual punishment - It is cruel and unusual to give and Illegal Convictions or to convict a person when the evidence does not support the conviction.

1th - It is a violation to extend the law to uphold the law, to convict a person with no supporting evidence is clearly extending the law to uphold the law.

5th - You cannot deprive a person of life and liberty without Just due process any Illegal acts is not Just due process of law

4th - Every U.S. Citizen is entitled to equal Due process of the law. It's clear the State of Georgia v. Lloyd Ethan White has clearly violated all these Constitutional Amendments and proof has been presented to the courts by Jailhouse Lawyer ~~and~~ Dante G. Fredrick

Exhibit A

In this section the District Attorney
C. Timmons gives his definition of "ArmRobbery"

And Admits the Key to a ArmRobbery

Is the "Use of a Offensive Weapon"

Exhibit A

1 associated with the man or woman who would have been
2 holding the gun at that time -- or in our case it's a
3 man -- but anyone holding the gun at that particular time
4 would have been responsible for the murder that would
5 have occurred as a result. All for one, one for all.
6 And so in an armed robbery case, everybody is just as
7 responsible for the acts of the gunman keeping people
8 down, just as responsible for the person collecting the
9 cash and going through and searching. All for one and
10 one for all.

11 Now, we're going forward in this case on Count 1,
12 armed robbery. And what an armed robbery is is when a
13 person commits armed robbery when, with the intent to
14 commit theft, that person takes property of another from
15 the person or immediate presence of another by use of an
16 offensive weapon. Let me break that down into the
17 elements. This is the things that you're going to be
18 talking about there in the jury room. Well, how do we
19 know we have an armed robbery? What questions do we need
20 to ask whether we have the evidence that proves this,
21 whether an armed robbery took place?

22 The first question, was there a taking? Was
23 something taken from the scene? In other words,
24 something has to be taken in order for there to be an
25 armed robbery. If there isn't a taking, it's an attempt.

Exhibit B

In this section this is ~~the~~ the Evidence Cobb County
Police Property / Evidence Control Record. It does not
Show no record of a weapon ever being ~~recovered~~ recovered

Evidence of no recovered fire arm
to sustain Arm-Robbery is presented
to the Court

Exhibit B


EVIDENCE

COBB COUNTY POLICE PROPERTY/EVIDENCE CONTROL RECORD

PLEASE PRINT
PRESS FIRMLY

142201 Dornbusch
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

Jay C. Stephenson
CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT
COMM. # 1483-24



SPECIFIC INCIDENT TYPE AGGRAVATED BATTERY	INCIDENT DATE 4/9/26/07	COLLECTION DATE 4/12/07	INCIDENT NO. 07136927
--	----------------------------	----------------------------	--------------------------

LOCATION WHERE SEIZED OR COLLECTED
HQ CAP, 140 N. MTA DRWY, MTA, GA

MARK ONLY ONE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> HOLD FOR 90 DAYS ONLY	<input type="checkbox"/> SAFEKEEPING <input type="checkbox"/> FOUND PROPERTY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEND TO CRIME LAB <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY
---	---	--

JUV.	MISD.	FEL.	WARRANT TYPE	WARRANT NO.	CRIME LAB NO.	CITATION NO.
------	-------	------	--------------	-------------	---------------	--------------

OWNER	ADDRESS	ZIP	PHONE
-------	---------	-----	-------

VICTIM/FINDER COOKS, SENECA	ADDRESS 1794 JAMES RAY DRIVE, I-10, MTA 30060	ZIP	PHONE 678 761 5283
--------------------------------	--	-----	-----------------------

SUSPECT 1 WHITE, CAPUCINE	ADDRESS 22 MEADOWVIEW LN, PWDN SPGS, GA	ZIP	PHONE 770 875-5483
------------------------------	--	-----	-----------------------

SUSPECT 2 WHITE, LLOYD ETHAN	ADDRESS 22 MEADOWVIEW LN, PWDN SPGS, GA	ZIP	PHONE 770 875-5483
---------------------------------	--	-----	-----------------------

ITEM NO.	COMPLETE DESCRIPTION - INCLUDING SERIAL NO.	TIME	COLLECTING OFFICER	DO NOT WRITE IN THIS COLUMN
1	DVD-R (DANISHA THOMPSON)	2300	Duncan	445-C1
2	DVD-R (DAVISHA THOMPSON 2 nd)	2300	" "	
3	DVD-R (CAPUCINE WHITE)	2300	" "	
4	DVD-R (DAVISHA THOMPSON 1 st)	2300	" "	
5	CD-R (DAVISHA THOMPSON 1 st)	2300	" "	
6	CD-R (DAVISHA THOMPSON 2 nd)	2300	" "	
7	CD-R (CAPUCINE WHITE)	2300	" "	
8	CD-R (DANISHA THOMPSON)	2300	" "	
9	CD-R (LLOYD WHITE)	2300	" "	



ITEM(S)	DATE	CHAIN OF CUSTODY				
		RELINQUISHED BY	BADGE NO.	RECEIVED BY	BADGE NO.	PURPOSE FOR EXCHANGE
1-9	4/10/07	R L. DUNCAN	1149	CAP-ETC		STORAGE
1-9	10/31/07	CAPER		(SIB)	1420	EU.
1-9	11/13/07	Dornbusch	1830	R Ward		DA

REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>	BADGE NO. 1149	DATE 4/12/07	REPORTING OFFICER SIGNATURE <i>[Signature]</i>	BADGE NO. 0694	DATE 4/12/07
---	-------------------	-----------------	---	-------------------	-----------------

Exhibit C

In this Section this Section shows that the Courts and Jury was very aware that a weapon was never recovered in this case. In order to sustain a prosecution or conviction of Armed Robbery you must have weapon.

Exhibit C

1 A That's what's in the report, yes, sir, the initial
2 report.

3 Q Unusual that there's a 39-hour delay between an armed
4 robbery and a kidnapping and calling the police?

5 A Yes, sir.

6 Q And perhaps -- well, your interview with Ebonee and
7 Seneca was approximately -- you have indicated the 18th is when
8 you started your interview process, of October?

9 A Yeah, I believe that's when I actually made first
10 contact with them, yes.

11 Q All right. And I guess doing the math, roughly two
12 and a half, three weeks later?

13 A Yeah. Yes, sir.

14 Q All right. After your reviewing the case and
15 collecting evidence, if you will, were any latent fingerprints
16 provided or recovered?

17 A No, sir.

18 Q So no examination, obviously, if none were recovered.

19 A Correct.

20 Q A weapon ever recovered?

21 A No, sir.

22 Q All right. Never learned, as far as what happened
23 with --

24 MR. HALEY: I apologize, Judge. Strike the
25 question.

1 Q Okay. What did she say?

2 A This time, she'd stated that it was herself, Curt,
3 Lloyd Ethan White, Capucine White, and Kiara and London, they
4 all discussed doing the robbery inside her apartment while at
5 2046 Drennon Avenue, where she lives. She stated that her
6 sister was, I believe, present for portions of it, or if not,
7 she heard the whole conversation.

8 They'd talked about doing the robbery. She said
9 everybody but London was present. The initial plan was Curt,
10 Lloyd and Kiara and her to go into the apartment, but then
11 it -- it was Lloyd, Curt, Kiara, Capucine and Davisha that went
12 to the apartment together, into the apartment complex.

13 She stated -- Davisha stated she went to the door
14 initially, purchased drugs. And as she was walking back out
15 the second time, she comes back to the door, Lloyd and Kiara
16 are with her. Davisha said that she knocked on the door. The
17 way she explained it, the smaller female, Seneca, came to the
18 door. They made their way into the apartment.

19 On the second interview, she didn't go into detail of
20 what happened inside the apartment. And she said that she kind
21 of tuned everything out at one point.

22 Q Did she tell you who had a gun?

23 A She stated that Lloyd was armed with a handgun.

24 Q And she told you that Lloyd went in the apartment?

25 A Yes.

1 know beyond a reasonable doubt what's going on. Beyond
2 all doubt, no.

3 Reasonable doubt is the same standard that is
4 applied in every courtroom across America, and it has
5 been since our Constitution was written. There is
6 nothing magical about beyond a reasonable doubt. Beyond
7 all doubt? You'd have to have a videotape or actually,
8 realistically, to have proof beyond all doubt or to a
9 mathematical certainty, all 13 of you would have to have
10 been present at the planning, at the execution and at the
11 division of the proceeds. It's not the burden in this
12 case. It's a doubt based on common sense and reason.

13 Let's talk about the State's case. Gentlemen, let's
14 talk about the time line -- ladies and gentlemen, let's
15 talk about the time line that happened in this case.
16 Let's start on Tuesday, September 25th, 2007. We're
17 going to start at 1794 James Ray Drive, which is the
18 place where the armed robbery occurred, where Seneca and
19 Ebonee and Chris all lived, along with little Alex, who's
20 important. Ladies and gentlemen, Ebonee and Seneca had a
21 party that evening at their house. There were people
22 there. They had money orders for the rent. It's the
23 25th, about time that rent's going to come due. Rent
24 money was around.

25 Now, who knows who was at that party. Who knows who

1 The defendants' attacks on the victims continue.
2 There were some minor discrepancies in the testimony. No
3 question about it. However, ladies and gentlemen, this
4 was an extremely traumatic event. Those of you who have
5 been through an extremely traumatic event such as an
6 armed robbery understand that when you talk with
7 everybody who was involved in that event, there are going
8 to be minor differences among the various individuals who
9 talk about it.

10 They're going to remember that gun for sure, and
11 they're going to remember mostly what folks were doing in
12 there. But what color the gun was beyond that bright
13 silver barrel, they're not going to remember a lot more
14 than that. They're going to see some things, but the
15 differences are they're not going to remember everything.

16 Some other things. Passage of time. Unfortunately,
17 this case has got a little bit of age on it, and so
18 people are going to remember things a little bit
19 differently as it goes on. But they remember the
20 material portions of the evening. They remember the gun;
21 they remember that Kiara was wearing a red Pizza Hut
22 shirt -- sorry -- a black Pizza Hut shirt with a red
23 Pizza Hut logo; they remember that everyone was dressed
24 in all black, that they -- that the male had the gun, the
25 male was the one with the mask, and the male was the one

1 Mr. White guilty of armed robbery. Well, according to
2 Davisha, the silver-and-white handgun was used and put in
3 a drawer; no one ever produces it again. Mr. Christopher
4 Cooks wants you to believe it's a 40 -- he sees the .40
5 caliber; he remembers that; he can tell by the, I guess
6 the end of the barrel, the millimeters. He knows. It's
7 a silver handgun. No prints. No victims identified.
8 Mr. White -- Mr. White has never been identified as
9 having gold teeth or gold grillwork.

10 Now, let's look at some of the other little small,
11 what I call small discrepancies, but they're important
12 because they're the parts of a puzzle to give you the
13 complete picture. I'm sure that the State's going to ask
14 that picture says guilty on it. But I'm going to ask you
15 to find that they can't produce all those pieces that do
16 that.

17 Ebonee indicates that she did go outside to look for
18 these individuals after the incident. Didn't -- and
19 evidently spoke to someone that said they didn't see
20 anything. Seneca says she doesn't go outside. No one
21 goes outside. So it's -- it's the conflict in their own
22 stories. At some point Ebonee indicates that -- I'm
23 sorry -- Seneca indicates that Ebonee wasn't working at
24 the time. She was, you know, working at this blue lab or
25 blue -- it was like a call center, work call center, but

1 someone's like, Oh, yes, you're right, and we're looking
2 for drugs and money in a frenzied, panicked rate, but
3 we're not going to go into a bedroom?

4 The stories just -- they don't make sense. By the
5 way, we were having a party, weren't selling drugs, but
6 we were having a party, we were drinking a little beer,
7 some Cuervos. This person was smoking pot but no one
8 else smoked pot. But did you catch Ebonee? Yes, I
9 smoked. No, I didn't smoke. Just be honest and
10 straightforward. That's what you're entitled to as
11 jurors. The witnesses are to be honest and
12 straightforward. You didn't get that in this trial from
13 the State's side with their witnesses.

14 Let's talk about the witnesses. Ebonee says an
15 individual came in with a mask. She could see the eyes,
16 nothing else but gold teeth and a handgun, of course, is
17 what she's claiming. Seneca, the same thing,
18 essentially. Mr. Cook -- Christopher Cooks says he sees
19 these things -- he calls it a grill at this point -- but
20 a short-sleeve shirt and tattoos. But he remembers the
21 caliber of the gun.

22 Now, if you want to believe Davisha, Mr. Lloyd White
23 leaves the apartment with a sweatshirt on, black pants.
24 It's this conflict the State wants you to disregard. Oh,
25 yeah, don't apply these conflicts. We want you to find


1 everything, that somehow Davisha froze up. The State
2 wants you to believe parts and parcels, but not the whole
3 version of what someone is telling you. Back in the
4 apartment, according to at least Mr. Christopher Cooks,
5 he recognizes Davisha. Davisha's the one running around,
6 doing everything, searching and looking. And it's this
7 other person, female, that's not doing those things. Her
8 motive was to protect her sister, who now everyone in the
9 community seems to be aware of that was involved in this
10 incident.


11 Let's look at some of the other motives and -- of
12 the other individuals. A 39-hour delay between this
13 horrible incident that happened and the reporting of it?
14 What has that effectively done? It prevented the
15 detective from doing his job, it's prevented the
16 crime-scene technician from doing his job, and it's
17 prevented you, the jurors, from doing your job. Which is
18 those important prints that were on that door, those
19 marks that were on that door, those fingerprints that
20 were perhaps in that apartment, the location of where
21 these individuals went, and if I could, if this was a
22 robbery where people were running around -- and I guess
23 perhaps from the State's perspective, thank goodness
24 these people didn't go into the child's bedroom -- but
25 does it make sense that while all this is happening,



1 process. And you'll hear that. I think hopefully you
2 heard it the first time. London, he's -- he -- not,
3 well, this is what I saw, this is -- but it starts with
4 London, he said. Well, wait a minute. Either you're
5 aware and you know and you saw and you observed, or
6 you're getting it from someone else. I submit to you
7 that's the problem here.



8 Danisha, as was explained, with the phone, wandering
9 around, nonchalantly listening in on a robbery being
10 planned. By the way, a gun is supposedly put back in
11 some bureau in the house, but we don't ever hear about
12 what transpired with that after this terrible incident
13 took place. And another thing. Does it make sense?
14 According to Danisha, Dan -- I apologize. Davisha had
15 her own room separate and apart from Danisha, according
16 to Danisha. So if someone is, I submit to you, planning
17 to do this event, does it make sense that they're going
18 to go into their little sister's room to plan this event?
19 The nuggets of truth, perhaps it's this bathroom incident
20 that took place, but her story, her version, doesn't
21 jive. Doesn't make sense. Doesn't fit with what the
22 other witnesses have testified.


23 There's too great of a conflict. Because what did
24 she also relate? She's trying to assist and diminish
25 Davisha by -- her participation by saying that Kiara does



































Notes:

008968
5/06/2008

1 robberies, parties to the crime and the conspiracy rules
2 that I talked with you about earlier apply. All for one
3 and one for all. Those who aid, those who abet, those
4 who help, those who plan, those who conspire, are all
5 equally guilty of an armed robbery if they are either
6 parties or conspirators with regard to that charge.

7 Mr. Bethune, if you could get the lights, please.
8 Thank you, sir.

9 Now, ladies and gentlemen, that's my opening close.
10 Just covering a little bit of the law. But that's the
11 law that's applicable to the charge in this case.
12 Parties to a crime, conspiracy, and armed robbery.
13 Defendants' counsel are going to have a chance to get up
14 in just a little bit. They're probably going to talk
15 maybe for an hour apiece. After that, I will come up and
16 address you again and walk you through the facts of this
17 case and match them up to these legal principles, the law
18 in this case: party to a crime, conspiracy, and armed
19 robbery. After that, I'm going to ask you to do the
20 right thing in this case, which is to find Defendant
21 Lloyd White and Defendant Capucine White guilty of armed
22 robbery. Thank you.

23 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Timmons. All
24 right.

25 And so who wants to go first? Are you going to go

1 And finally, anybody who advises or encourages
2 another person to commit a crime. So their advisers,
3 their planners, or the folks that are giving them support
4 -- come on, do it; you need to do this; this is going to
5 be good -- they are all parties to the crime. They all
6 bear responsibility for the crime under Georgia law.

7 A second concept that is also very important is what
8 we call conspiracy. And what a conspiracy is, under
9 Georgia law, it's an agreement between two or more
10 persons to do an unlawful act. They conspire. They get
11 together and they agree that the two of us or the three
12 of us or the four of us or the five of us, or six or
13 however many, are going to commit this particular crime.
14 Any act done by any party to the conspiracy to further
15 the unlawful enterprise is considered to be an act of all
16 of the conspirators. In other words, anybody who's a
17 party to this conspiracy, the folks who get together,
18 make this decision to work together, are all on the hook.
19 All for one, one for all. It works hand in glove with
20 party to a crime. So the gunman and everyone who
21 conspire with them are all conspirators and thus
22 responsible for any act taken by anyone.

23 Now, thankfully, we're not here today on a murder
24 case. We're here on an armed robbery case. But had that
25 gun gone off in that apartment that day, everybody who is

1 question, but --

2 Q Did you head over there to search for the weapon?

3 A I did not.

4 Q Why not?

5 A Again, it was probably two and a half, three weeks
6 after the incident. Our training, most likely the weapon's
7 already gone.

8 Q With regard to Danisha -- keeping going with that --
9 she told you that Kiara wanted to do the robbery. Who did she
10 say planned the robbery?

11 A She had stated Capucine had planned the robbery.

12 Q Okay. How do you know what day of the week September
13 25th is, 2007?

14 A Through a calendar.

15 Q Okay. And with regard to September 26th, 2007, how
16 do you know what day of the week that is?

17 A Same.

18 Q Okay. And what day of the week is September 25th,
19 2007?

20 A Tuesday.

21 Q Okay. And what day of the week is September 26th,
22 2007?

23 A A Wednesday.

24 Q All right. With regard to Capucine, Mr. Saul asked
25 you if Capucine came to the precinct with the Thompsons on the

1 knew that that was true or not, whether he knew he was there or
2 not?

3 A Sure. I'd agree to that, yes.

4 Q All right. Based upon your investigation, at least
5 initially, was your response that this had something to do with
6 drugs or drug activity, this robbery?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And speaking with Ebonee and -- or anyone else that
9 was inside the apartment during the period of this robbery, no
10 one had identified either Capucine or Lloyd as being those
11 participants, correct?

12 A True.

13 MR. HALEY: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: All right. Any redirect?

15 MR. TIMMONS: Briefly, Judge.

16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MR. TIMMONS:

18 Q Let's talk about the weapon for a second. Did
19 Danisha tell you what happened to the weapon in her interview?

20 A Yes.

21 Q What did she tell you happened to the weapon?

22 A I believe she said, when they had returned back, it
23 was placed into a middle drawer of a dresser.

24 Q Okay. And did Danisha look for it the next day?

25 A I -- I don't even -- I don't think I posed the

Exhibit D

In this Section this Section Shows where the Courts "Staff Attorney asked for a lesser Included offense of *Robbery by Intimidation* because the State knew it would be illegal to convict but the District Attorney neglected the law and with "evil Intent" pursued a Verdict of Guilty and a conviction of Armerrobbery.

Exhibit D

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

MR. SAUL: Same -- before "helped."

THE STAFF ATTORNEY: I got it, Your Honor.

MR. SAUL: Mere association --

THE COURT: That such person intentionally helped.
Okay.

MR. SAUL: Those are all my objections.

THE COURT: That's all his objections. All right.
Do you have any objections, Mr. Haley, while
Mr. Timmons is still looking? I've obviously deleted all
this, you know, verdict 2, verdict 3, and all that. You
see that?

MR. TIMMONS: Yes, sir.

MR. HALEY: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: That's gone. And then lesser offenses,
we don't have a lesser included in this, so on page 20,
that's gone.

THE STAFF ATTORNEY: State has requested a lesser
included offense of robbery by intimidation.

THE COURT: You do want robbery by --

MR. TIMMONS: Judge, I put it in there just in case
somebody else wanted it. I don't now. I don't think
they -- I think the evidence in this case is that the
robbery occurred --

THE COURT: It's either a robbery -- an armed
robbery or it's not.

1 MR. SAUL: Well, I guess attempted robbery could be
2 a lesser included. Ms. Capucine White was outside. And
3 some of the evidence is that she may have planned it but
4 canceled the plan --

5 THE COURT: That's true.

6 MR. SAUL: -- was there.

7 THE COURT: But that wouldn't be attempted, so I'm
8 not going to give a lesser offense in this case.

9 You see that on page 20? We don't need a lesser.

10 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: I've deleted it, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: See, if the victims had said, No, I
12 never saw his gun but, you know, I wasn't going to argue
13 with somebody that said, Lay down on the floor, then
14 arguably it would just be a robbery by intimidation or
15 fear, coercion.

16 MR. TIMMONS: I'll withdraw State's 4, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. So less, that's out. Now, at the
18 beginning, I think I should say --

19 MR. HALEY: Your Honor, on 19 it has -- I know the
20 other counts are out. I just -- I guess -- it says,
21 verdict Count 2 and Count 3 on 19 and 21.

22 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah, that's gone.

23 MR. HALEY: Okay. I just didn't --

24 THE COURT: On the very beginning, Matt, on the
25 first page, y'all, it's now -- instead of page 2, it's

1 going to be page 1. It's going to say: "The Grand Jury
2 has indicted the defendant Lloyd White and Capucine
3 White" -- we need to put both of them.

4 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: Okay.

5 THE COURT: You see that, Matt?

6 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: Yes, sir.

7 THE COURT: -- "with the offense of armed robbery."

8 And then delete --

9 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: Oh, I've deleted that.

10 THE COURT: -- the kidnapping. You've deleted that.

11 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: Yes.

12 THE COURT: Okay. So put, "The Grand Jury has
13 indicted the defendants" -- plural -- "Lloyd White and
14 Capucine White with the offense" -- it's not plural --
15 "the offense of armed robbery." You agree?

16 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: Uh-huh.

17 THE COURT: Okay. Now, then, my suggestion is that
18 I say --

19 THE STAFF ATTORNEY: I know that you like to add in
20 the first sentence --

21 THE COURT: That's why I'm writing it out. We need
22 to do a new indictment too. Don't you want me just to
23 delete that? You want me to redact the indictment so
24 it's only against the two of them for armed robbery and
25 eliminate Counts 2 and 3?

Exhibit E

In this Section this Section Shows
the Indictment of Lloyd White and
It clearly Shows the Indictment was
Based on a Offensive Weapon Handgun
But no weapon was ever recovered to
even support and Indictment of Arm Robbery.
And arrest warrant to support the Arrest of
Arm Robbery

Exhibit E

Jay C. Stephenson
CLERK OF **CRIMINAL WARRANT**
COBB JUDICIAL CIRCUIT



Warrant No. 07-W-12534
Elva P. Dornbusch
Case No. 07136927
CHIEF DEPUTY CLERK

**MAGISTRATE COURT OF COBB COUNTY
GEORGIA, COBB COUNTY**

Warrant No. 07-W-12534
Police Case No. 07136927

Personally came R.L. Duncan who makes oath before a Magistrate of this Court that Lloyd Ethan Marvin White (hereinafter called the accused) AKA: Unknown did, on 9/26/2007 at 12:15 AM at 1794 James Ray Drive I-10, Marietta in the County of Cobb, Georgia, commit the offense of ARMED ROBBERY WEAPON(F) violating O.C.G.A. Section 16-8-41 for that said accused did with the intent to commit theft, take \$180 US Dollars and a Money Order worth \$665, the property of Seneca Cooks and Ebonye Clarke, from the immediate presence, person of Seneca Cooks and Ebonye Clarke by use of an offensive weapon: silver and black semiautomatic handgun, to wit: with the help of two other suspects, enter the apartment of Seneca Cooks and Ebonye Clark, pointed a silver and black semiautomatic handgun at them, forcing them to lay on the floor, cover their heads and robbed them of \$180 US Dollars and a money order worth \$665 US Dollars; commit the offense of KIDNAPPING -KIDNAP(F) violating O.C.G.A. Section 16-5-40, for that said accused did commit the offense of kidnapping, for that accused abducted and stole away Seneca Cooks without lawful authority or warrant and held such person against his or her will, to wit: did enter the apartment of Seneca Cooks without authority, point a black semi-automatic handgun at her, and force her to lay down on the living room floor which involved an asportation of the victim of approximately ten (10) feet from behind the front door to the middle of the living room floor.; commit the offense of KIDNAPPING -KIDNAP(F) violating O.C.G.A. Section 16-5-40, for that said accused did commit the offense of kidnapping, for that accused abducted and stole away Ebonye Clarke without lawful authority or warrant and held such person against her will, to wit: did enter the apartment of Ebonye Clark without authority, point a black semi-automatic handgun at her, and force her to lay down on the living room floor. This involved an asportation of the victim of approximately ten (10) feet from the hallway to the middle of the living room floor.;

and affiant makes this affidavit that a warrant may issue for the arrest of the accused.

Affiant: R.L. Duncan
Badge No. CC1149

Sworn to and subscribed before me, this 20th day of October, 2007.

Magistrate /Deputy Clerk

To any Sheriff, Deputy Sheriff, Coroner, Constable, or Marshall or said State –

GREETINGS:

For sufficient cause made known to me in the above affidavit, incorporated by reference herein, and other sworn testimony established probable cause for the arrest of the accused, you are therefore commanded to arrest Lloyd Ethan Marvin White accused named in the foregoing affidavit charged by the prosecutor therein with the offense against the laws of this State named in said affidavit and bring the accused before me, or some other judicial officer of Georgia, to be dealt with as the law directs. HEREIN FAIL NOT.
This 20th day of October, 2007.

As the issuing judge/affiant,
I do hereby verify that this document is an original criminal warrant with electronically generated signatures. Judge/Affiant
Court Division
P.O. Box 3370
Marietta, Georgia 30061
770-528-1300

Web Site: www.cobbsuperiorcourtclerk.com
Real Estate Division
P.O. Box 3430
Marietta, Georgia 30061
770-528-1360

UCC Division
P.O. Box 3490
Marietta, Georgia 30061
770-528-1363

Exhibit F

In this section this section shows
The conviction on Record, the sentencing,
and proof that the courts gave an

Illegal conviction, A conviction of
Arm Robbery with no weapon to support the
conviction is ~~obviously~~ clearly a

Illegal conviction

Exhibit F

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

Filed in Office May-22-2009 12:38:35
ID# 2009-0071557-CR
Page 1

CRIMINAL ACTION NO. 08-9-1155-40
WARRANT NO. 07W12534

Jay C. Stephenson
Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

The State

VS

LLOYD WHITE

OFFENSE(S)
CT1) ARMED ROBBERY
CT2) KIDNAPPING
CT3) KIDNAPPING

- PLEA NON-JURY JURY
- NEGOTIATED _____
- GUILTY ON COUNT(S) _____
- NOLO CONTENDERE ON COUNT(S) _____
- TO LESSER INCLUDED ON COUNT(S) _____

- VERDICT
- GUILTY ON COUNT(S) One
- NOT GUILTY ON COUNT(S) _____
- GUILTY OF LESSER INCLUDED ON COUNT(S) _____

- OTHER DISPOSITION
- NOLLE PROSEQUI ORDER ON COUNT(S) _____
- DEAD DOCKET ORDER ON COUNT(S) _____

ON COUNT(S) Directed Verdict on cts 2, 3 & 3

FELONY SENTENCE MISDEMEANOR SENTENCE

WHEREAS, the above-named defendant has been found guilty of the above-stated offense. WHEREUPON, it is ordered and adjudged by the Court that the said defendant hereby sentenced to confinement for a period of (20) Twenty years

in the State Penal System or such other institution as the Commission of the State Department of Corrections or Court may direct, to be computed as provided by law. HOWEVER, it is further ordered by the Court * Credit For Time Served Since Oct 20, 2007

2) THAT upon service of (14) Fourteen years of the above sentence, the remainder of (6) Six years may be served on probation PROVIDED that the said defendant complies with the following general and other conditions herein imposed by the Court as part of this sentence.

GENERAL AND/OR OTHER CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

- 1) Do not violate the criminal laws of any government unit.
 - 2) Avoid injurious and vicious habits—especially alcoholic consumption/intoxication and narcotics and other dangerous drugs unless prescribed lawfully.
 - 3) Avoid persons or places of disreputable or harmful character.
 - 4) Report to the Probation-Parole Supervisor as directed and permit such Supervisor to visit him (her) at home or elsewhere.
 - 5) Work faithfully at suitable employment insofar as may be possible.
 - 6) Do not change his (her) present place of abode, move outside the jurisdiction of the Court, or leave the State for any period of time without prior permission of the Probation Supervisor.
 - 7) Support his (her) dependants to the best of his (her) ability.
- 10% Jail Surcharge pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-93
 5% Victim Assistance Surcharge pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-131
 Per Month Probation Fee not to exceed 60 payments 32.00
 DUI Surcharge pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-112
 Probation Surcharge pursuant to O.C.G.A. 42-8-34 / 15-21 A-6 100.00
 10% POPIDF which ever is less pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-73 (a)(1)(A)
 10% POPIDF of original fine pursuant to O.C.G.A., 15-21-73 (a)(1)(B)
 10% Brain and Spinal Injury Trust Fund pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-149
 5% of original fine for GA. Driver's Education Commission pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-179
 50% Drug Surcharge pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-21-100
 \$100 Court Costs pursuant to O.C.G.A. 15-6-77(h) (7)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the defendant pay a fine in the amount of _____ and pay victim restitution in the amount of _____ and restitution to the Cobb General Fund for costs for Court Appointed Attorney in the amount not to exceed _____. Defendant is to pay all fines, penalties and restitution as a condition of probation at the rate of \$ _____ per month beginning 60 days from Date of Release

SEE ADDENDUM "A" FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION

IT IS THE FURTHER ORDER of the Court, and the defendant is hereby advised that the Court may, at any time, revoke any conditions of this probation and/or discharge the defendant from probation. The probationer shall be subject to arrest for violation of any condition of probation herein granted. If such probation is revoked, the Court may order the execution of the sentence which was originally imposed or any portion thereof in the manner provided by law after deducting therefrom the amount of time the defendant has served on probation.

The defendant was represented by the Honorable James Haley Attorney at Law, Cobb County, by (Employment) (Appointment)

Reported By: CARL FORTE By the Court _____ . 20

So ordered this 22 day of MAY, 20 09

Lloyd White
Defendant

[Signature]
Defendant's Attorney

Robert E. Flannery III
Judge, Cobb Superior Court

[Signature]
Assistant District Attorney
Christopher W. Timmons

Filed in Open Court, this 22 day of May, 2009
 Original - Clerk
 Duplicate - District Attorney
 Triplicate - Probation Officer
 Quadruplicate - Jail
 Term. 20 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20
 FINAL DISPOSITION
 Deputy Clerk

CASE NO(S) 08-9-1155-40
W# 07W12534

LLOYD WHITE
DEFENDANT

ADDENDUM "A"

SPECIAL CONDITIONS OF PROBATION PURSUANT TO O.C.G.A. 42-8-34.1

PROOF BY A PREPONDERANCE OF EVIDENCE OF A VIOLATION OF ANY OF THE SPECIAL CONDITIONS CHECKED BELOW WILL AUTHORIZE THE COURT TO REVOKE YOUR PROBATION AND YOU MAY BE REQUIRED TO SERVE UP TO THE BALANCE OF THE SENTENCE IN CONFINEMENT.

____ Defendant is to perform at minimum _____ hours of community service per month beginning _____ or _____ CSAI weekends at the direction of the State Probation Department.

Defendant is to submit to random drug/alcohol screens at their own expense.

Defendant is to receive drug/alcohol evaluation, treatment, counseling at the direction of the Probation Department at their own expense.

Defendant is to be evaluated within 30 days from Date of Review. Treatment if any shall commence within 30 days after the evaluation.

Defendant is not to have any alcohol or drugs at all unless prescribed

____ Defendant is not to operate any motor vehicle until he/she is licensed and insured in the State of Georgia.

Defendant is to have no contact with the victim(s) Alvin Buick, Christopher Cooks

____ Defendant is to stay away from Serena Cooks, Ebony Clarke, LaJurne Young

____ Defendant is to complete the requirements for a GED.

____ Defendant is to reside at a Diversion Center, subject to all rules and regulations thereof, and upon completion of the Diversion Center program, will be supervised by the Probation Department.

____ Defendant is remanded to the custody of the Georgia Department of Corrections Probation Boot Camp for a period of 120 days computed from the time of initial confinement in the unit. The department may release the defendant no earlier than 90 days in recognition of excellent behavior. The period of confinement shall be followed by a period of intense supervision as outlined in the attached special Conditions.

____ Defendant's probation may be terminated after _____ years if all fines and fees are paid in full, all conditions of probation have been complied with and at the direction of the Probation Department.

____ Other conditions of probation _____

So imposed, this 22 day of May, 2009.

[Signature]
DEFENDANT

[Signature]
JUDGE, COBB SUPERIOR COURT, COBB JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

[Signature]
DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

[Signature]
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY Christopher W. Thomas

Original - Office

Yellow Copy - District Attorney

Pink Copy - Probation Office

Goldenrod Copy - Jail

1 to serve the incarceration time, and they can't --
2 they're not eligible for parole on that. And I do think
3 they're young people. I do think that what they did was
4 obviously horrific, reprehensible, wrong, and just
5 outright stupid on their part, as well as very, very
6 upsetting and damaging to the victims in the case.

7 And so, as to Capucine White, I'll give her a
8 sentence of 20 years. Of that 20 years, she'll serve 12
9 years incarcerated, with credit from October the 19th of
10 2007.

11 You got that, Tanya? Credit from October 19th,
12 2007.

13 And so because Mr. White used the gun and was
14 brandishing the gun, he was the gun holder, I think that
15 he deserves more punishment, and so I'll give him a
16 sentence of 20 years. But he is younger. Awful
17 circumstance. I'll give him a sentence of 14 years to
18 serve. So 20 years, to serve 14, and with also credit
19 from October the 20th is what I was told, October 20th of
20 2007. So let's see. He was born in -- I looked it up --
21 I believe in 1989, so he's now 20 years of age. I think
22 his birthday is in March; is that right?

23 MR. HALEY: It's, I believe, January 29th.

24 THE COURT: January?

25 MR. HALEY: Is that --

1 is that correct? Jason Mummy?

2 JUROR MUMMY: Yes, sir.

3 THE COURT: You're the foreperson; is that right?

4 JUROR MUMMY: Yes, sir.

5 THE COURT: All right. For purposes of the record,
6 if you would, say your name so that the court reporter --

7 JUROR MUMMY: Jason Mummy.

8 THE COURT: Mr. Mummy. And so has the verdict been
9 reduced to writing?

10 JUROR MUMMY: Yes, sir.

11 THE COURT: It is in writing. And has it been
12 signed by yourself as the foreperson?

13 JUROR MUMMY: Yes, sir.

14 THE COURT: Has it been dated?

15 JUROR MUMMY: Yes, sir.

16 THE COURT: Okay. And so if you would, hand the
17 verdict form to the bailiff, who will bring it over here
18 to the clerk, and she'll hand it to me. Thank you. And
19 I'll let her publish it in open court. All right.

20 You ready, Ms. Tanya? Thank you.

21 THE CLERK: In the Superior Court of Cobb County,
22 State of Georgia, State of Georgia versus Lloyd White and
23 Capucine White, Criminal Action File Number 08-9-1155-40,
24 verdict form, Count 1: We, the jury, find Defendant
25 Lloyd White guilty as to Count 1, armed robbery. We, the

1 jury, find the defendant, Capucine White, guilty as to
2 Count 1, armed robbery. This 22nd day of May, 2009, and
3 it's signed by Jason Mummy.

4 THE COURT: All right. If you would like to examine
5 the verdict form, Mr. Timmons, on behalf of the State and
6 you, Mr. Saul, on behalf of the defendant Ms. White, and
7 then you, Mr. Haley, on behalf of the defendant
8 Mr. White. All right.

9 Any problem with the verdict form on behalf of the
10 State, Mr. Timmons?

11 MR. TIMMONS: There's no objection.

12 THE COURT: And, Mr. Saul?

13 MR. SAUL: No objection. We're requesting the jury
14 be polled.

15 THE COURT: You do want the jury to be polled. All
16 right. So what I'm going to do now is go through and ask
17 each of you three questions. And the questions are: Was
18 that your verdict in the jury room? And was that verdict
19 voluntarily agreed to by you? And is that now your
20 verdict? Okay? So the defendants have the right to have
21 the jury polled.

22 So I'll -- first -- Juror No. 1 is Mr. Jason Mummy.
23 Hey, Mr. Mummy. Was that your verdict in the jury room?

24 JUROR MUMMY: Yes.

25 THE COURT: Was that verdict voluntarily agreed to

Exhibit H

In this section This section
will show that Lloyd White was
never identified by not one

victim even at trial. All testimony
was from a codefendant Davisha Thompson
that clearly pointed fingers to receive
lesser time after she admitted her
involvement. Lloyd or Capucine White
never admitted guilt or was identified
in this case!

Exhibit H

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

nothing was mentioned of PF Chang's.

If you want to believe Davisha, Davisha indicates that she tells her sister to leave after a period of time, when the individuals supposedly come back to divide this up. But if the victims are saying that money was taken, and there's a money order, they don't provide when the money order was bought or purchased or where it was purchased to establish at least a date of when this happened. Don't remember the store where they bought this money order from, but you hear nothing about, supposedly, from Davisha and Danisha about this money order.

And if you're to believe their testimony, at least part of it should have something about a money order in it, whatever happened to this money order. I mean, it's their objective witnesses. That should be something simple that would be consistent through this process.

Now, the State's going to say, well, that consistency is going to be Mr. Lloyd White, who had the gun. But that's not true. No one has identified him as being at the scene; no one has identified, even their own witnesses, that he had gold teeth or had grillwork on. I submit to you that that would have been perhaps the easiest through this whole process. Oh, yeah, Lloyd had them in; he took them out afterwards; he put them in

Exhibit I

This Section will present the
law O.C.G.A. 16-11-106 - States

a weapon has to be within Arms Reach
or Immediate Access to sustain a conviction.

You must have a weapon to sustain "Arm Robbery"
conviction or charge there's clearly no weapon
in this case at all!

Exhibit I